

**KITTITAS COUNTY  
BOARD OF EQUALIZATION**

411 N Ruby St, Ste 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926  
(509) 962-7506

---

**ORDER OF THE KITTITAS COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION**

Property Owner(s): Paul & Antonia Pogue  
Mailing Address: PO BOX 167  
Snoqualmie Pass, WA 98068-0167  
Tax Parcel No(s): 078335  
Assessment Year: 2023 (Taxes Payable in 2024)  
Petition Number: BE-23-0043

Having considered the evidence presented by the parties in this appeal, the Board hereby:  
**Sustained**  
the determination of the Assessor.

Assessor's Determination

Assessor's Land: \$434,700  
Assessor's Improvement: \$1,116,630  
TOTAL: \$1,551,330


Board of Equalization (BOE) Determination


BOE Land: \$434,700  
BOE Improvement: \$1,116,630  
TOTAL: \$1,551,330

**Those in attendance at the hearing and findings:**

See attached Recommendation and Proposed Decision of the Hearing Examiner.

Hearing Held On : December 5, 2023  
Decision Entered On: January 11, 2024  
Hearing Examiner: Ann Shaw Date Mailed: 11/16/24

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairperson (of Authorized Designee)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Board of Equalization

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a Notice of Appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915, within THIRTY days of the date of mailing on this Order (RCW 84.08.130). The Notice of Appeal form is available from the Washington State Board of Tax Appeals or the Kittitas County Board of Equalization Clerk.

**KITTITAS COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION- PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION**

Appellants: Paul & Antonia Pogue  
Petition: BE-23-0043  
Parcel: 078335  
Address: 110 Snoqualmie Dr

Hearing: December 5, 2023 11:01 A.M.

Present at hearing: Dana Glenn, Appraiser; Jessica Miller, BOE Clerk; Ann Shaw, Hearing Examiner

Testimony given: Dana Glenn, Appraiser

Assessor's determination:

Land: \$434,700  
Improvements: \$1,116,630  
Total: \$1,551,330

Taxpayer's estimate:

Land: \$350,000  
Improvements: \$1,000,000  
Total: \$1,350,000

**SUMMATION OF EVIDENCE PRESENTED AND FINDING OF FACT:**

The subject property is 2,044 Square Foot Home with an additional 448 Square foot apartment built in 2019. The lot size is .19 acres in Hyak Estates. There is also a partially finished basement and garage.

The petitioner submitted a bank appraisal that was done in 2022 which reflects a value of \$1,366,450. The appraiser submitted a flat rate \$15,000 additional value for the apartment.

The assessor explained their assessed value ratios in comparison to the sales and the performance of their model in the market area.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

"Upon review by any court, or appellate body, of a determination of the valuation of property for purposes of taxation, it shall be presumed that the determination of the public official charged with the duty of establishing such value is correct, but this presumption shall not be a defense against any correction indicated by clear, cogent and convincing evidence." RCW 81.40.0301

In other words, the assessor's determination of property value shall be presumed correct. The petitioner can overcome this presumption that the assessor's value is correct only by presenting clear, cogent and convincing evidence otherwise.

“All real property in this state subject to taxation shall be listed and assessed every year, with reference to its value on the first day of January of the year in which it is assessed...”

RCW 84.40.020

“The true and fair value of real property for taxation purposes...must be based upon the following criteria:

- (a) Any sales of the property being appraised or similar properties with respect to sales made within the past five years...
- (b) In addition to sales as defined in subsection (3)(a) of this section, consideration may be given to cost, cost less depreciation, reconstruction cost less depreciation, or capitalization of income that would be derived from prudent use of the property, as limited by law or ordinance...”

RCW 84.40.030(3)

“(1) In making its decision with respect to the value of property, the board shall use the criteria set forth in RCW 84.40.030.

(2) Parties may submit and boards may consider any sales of the subject property or similar properties which occurred prior to the hearing date so long as the requirements of RCW 84.40.030, 84.48.150, and WAC 458-14-066 are complied with. Only sales made within five years of the date of the petition shall be considered.

(3) Any sale of property prior to or after January 1<sup>st</sup> of the year of revaluation shall be adjusted to its value as of January 1 of the year of evaluation, reflecting market activity and using generally accepted appraisal methods...

(4) More weight shall be given to similar sales occurring closest to the assessment date which require the fewest adjustments for characteristics.”

WAC 458-14-087

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Hearing Examiner has determined that the appellant has not met the burden of proof to overturn the Assessed Value of the property with clear, cogent, and convincing evidence.


The value that the private appraiser came up with places the value per square foot at \$661/Square Foot without the ADU in the equation. If you use that price per square foot and apply it to the ADU, the value is beyond the assessed value for the property.

Every finding of fact this is a conclusion of law shall be deemed as such. Every conclusion of law that contains a finding of fact shall be deemed as a finding of fact.

**PROPOSED DECISION:**

The Examiner proposes that the Kittitas County Board of Equalization uphold the assessed value.

DATED 11/11/24

  
Ann Shaw, Hearing Examiner